# THE COURTS

# Title 246—MINOR COURT CIVIL RULES

### PART I. GENERAL

#### [246 PA. CODE CHS. 200 AND 300]

### Proposed Adoption of Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 210.1 and Amendment of Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 320

The Minor Court Rules Committee is considering proposing to the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania the adoption of Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 210.1 and the amendment of Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 320, pertaining to (1) prohibitions on *ex parte* communications and (2) stipulated judgments, respectively, for the reasons set forth in the accompanying Publication Report. Pursuant to Pa.R.J.A. 103(a)(1), the proposal is being published in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* for comments, suggestions, or objections prior to submission to the Supreme Court.

Any report accompanying this proposal was prepared by the Committee to include the rationale for the proposed rulemaking. It will neither constitute a part of the rules nor be officially adopted by the Supreme Court.

Additions to the text are bolded and underlined; deletions to the text are bolded and bracketed.

The Committee invites all interested persons to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in writing to:

> Pamela S. Walker, Counsel Minor Court Rules Committee Supreme Court of Pennsylvania Pennsylvania Judicial Center PO Box 62635 Harrisburg, PA 17106-2635 FAX: 717-231-9546 minorrules@pacourts.us

All communications in reference to the proposal should be received by June 18, 2024. E-mail is the preferred method for submitting comments, suggestions, or objections; any e-mailed submission need not be reproduced and resubmitted via mail. The Committee will acknowledge receipt of all submissions.

By the Minor Court Rules Committee

HONORABLE JAMES R. EDGCOMB, Chair

#### Annex A

## TITLE 246. MINOR COURT CIVIL RULES

### PART I. GENERAL

#### CHAPTER 200. RULES OF CONSTRUCTION; GENERAL PROVISIONS

(*Editor's Note*: The following rule is proposed to be added and is printed in regular type to enhance readability.)

#### Rule 210.1. Ex Parte Communication.

(a) Unless otherwise authorized by law or state court rule, no person shall communicate with the magisterial district judge in any way regarding matters pending before the magisterial district judge unless all parties: (1) are present or have been copied if the communication is written or in electronic form; or

 $\left(2\right)$  have waived their presence or right to receive the communication.

(b) If the magisterial district judge receives any unauthorized *ex parte* communication, the magisterial district judge shall inform all parties of the communication and its content.

#### **Comment:**

Generally, communications should include all parties. No unauthorized *ex parte* communications with the magisterial district judge are to occur. Authorized *ex parte* communications include those made in connection with proceedings for emergency protective orders, *i.e.*, Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 1201—1211, which are *ex parte* proceedings. See Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 1207. Certain *ex parte* communications for scheduling, administrative, or emergency purposes that do not address substantive matters are permissible. See Rule 2.9(A)(1) of the Rules Governing Standards of Conduct of Magisterial District Judges.

Attorneys are bound by Rule 3.5 of the Rules of Professional Conduct. Magisterial district judges are bound by Rule 2.9 of the Rules Governing Standards of Conduct of Magisterial District Judges.

Attorneys and judges understand the impropriety of *ex parte* communications regarding matters pending before the magisterial district court but many participants are not attorneys or judges. This rule ensures that all parties receive the same information that is being presented to the magisterial district judge so that it may be challenged or supplemented.

#### CHAPTER 300. CIVIL ACTION

Rule 320. Request to Withdraw Complaint; [Settlement] Settlements.

#### [A(1)] (a) Withdrawal of Complaint.

(1) A plaintiff may withdraw [ the ] <u>a</u> complaint prior to [ the ] <u>a</u> commencement of [ the ] <u>a</u> hearing by filing a written notice of withdrawal with the magisterial district court. Upon receipt of such notice, the magisterial district court shall [ note ]:

(i) mark the withdrawal of the complaint on the docket[,];

(ii) cancel any scheduled hearing, [(]except for a consolidated hearing on a cross-complaint pursuant to [Rule 315B), ] Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 315B; and

(iii) notify the parties in writing that the complaint has been withdrawn.

(2) A withdrawal of [ the ]  $\underline{\mathbf{a}}$  complaint filed prior to [ the ]  $\underline{\mathbf{a}}$  commencement of [ the ]  $\underline{\mathbf{a}}$  hearing shall be deemed to be without prejudice. The plaintiff may file a new complaint on the same cause of action upon payment of all applicable fees and costs.

#### [B(1)] (b) Settlements.

(1) Settlement Without Stipulated Judgment.

PENNSYLVANIA BULLETIN, VOL. 54, NO. 18, MAY 4, 2024

(i) [The] If the parties do not request the entry of a stipulated judgment pursuant to a settlement agreement, the parties may file a written notice of settlement of the complaint with the magisterial district court at any time prior to the entry of judgment. Upon receipt of such notice, the magisterial district judge shall:

(A) [ the magisterial district court shall note the case settled on the docket, ] mark the settlement of the case on the docket;

(B) cancel any scheduled hearing [(], except for a consolidated hearing on a cross-complaint pursuant to [Rule 315B), ] Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 315B; and

 $(\underline{C})$  notify the parties in writing that the complaint has been marked settled.

[(2)] (ii) [Where the parties have filed a notice of settlement with the magisterial district court ] If the magisterial district court has previously marked the civil action as settled pursuant to subdivision (b)(1)(i) and a subsequent breach of the settlement agreement occurs, a party may file a new complaint citing breach of the settlement agreement as the cause of action.

#### (2) Settlement with Stipulated Judgment.

(i) The parties may file a written notice of a stipulated judgment as part of a settlement agreement at any time prior to the entry of judgment.

(ii) The notice shall be made on a form promulgated by the State Court Administrator that shall include:

(A) the amount of the stipulated judgment, which shall include fees and interest, but not court costs;

#### (B) a notice to the defendant:

(I) to review the settlement agreement to ensure familiarity with and acceptance of its terms;

(II) that a judgment will be entered against the defendant on the docket of the magisterial district court; and

(III) the plaintiff shall have the right to request execution of the judgment if the defendant fails to make payments as agreed; and

(C) the signatures of the parties.

(iii) Upon receipt of a notice compliant with the requirements of subdivision (b)(2)(ii), the magisterial district court shall:

(A) mark the entry of the stipulated judgment on the docket;

(B) cancel any scheduled hearing, except for a consolidated hearing on a cross-complaint pursuant to Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 315B; and

(C) notify the parties in writing that the complaint has been marked settled.

[C(1)] (c) Cross-complaints.

(1) [ The ] <u>A</u> withdrawal or settlement of the plaintiff's complaint **pursuant to subdivision** (a) or (b) shall not affect the right of the defendant to proceed with a

#### cross-complaint filed pursuant to [Rule 315A] Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 315A, unless it includes the crosscomplaint.

(2) The defendant may file a written notice of withdrawal of the cross-complaint in the manner set forth in [subdivision A] subdivision (a).

(3) The parties may file a written notice of settlement or stipulated judgment of the cross-complaint in the manner set forth in [subdivision B] subdivision (b).

#### [ Official Note: ] Comment:

A complaint filed pursuant to [subparagraph A(2) or B(2)] subdivision (a)(2) or (b)(1)(ii) [shall not be treated as] is not a "reinstatement" of the underlying action[,] and is subject to all prescribed fees and costs for filing and service of a complaint. Compare with [Rule 314E] <u>Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 314E</u>, which provides for reinstatement of the complaint under the limited circumstance of failure to make timely service.

This rule also applies to the withdrawal or settlement of a cross-complaint. Moreover, a cross-complaint will survive the withdrawal or settlement of the corresponding complaint <u>if it is not included in a notice filed</u> **pursuant to this rule**.

For purposes of this rule, "stipulated judgment" means a judgment that is entered by the magisterial district court without a hearing and at the request of and with the agreement of the parties. See Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 210.1 prohibiting unauthorized *ex parte* communication with the magisterial district judge.

[Prior Rule 320, addressing continuances, was rescinded by Order of December 16, 2004, effective July 1, 2005, and its provisions were added to Rule 209.] The provisions of prior Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 320, pertaining to continuances, were relocated to Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 209, effective July 1, 2005.

#### SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA Minor Court Rules Committee

### **PUBLICATION REPORT**

#### Proposed Adoption of Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 210.1 and Amendment of Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 320

The Minor Court Rules Committee ("Committee") is considering proposing to the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania the adoption of Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 210.1 and the amendment of Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 320, pertaining to prohibitions on *ex parte* communications and stipulated judgments, respectively.

While discussing a separate matter, the Committee became aware of instances in magisterial district courts of creditor-plaintiffs submitting *ex parte* requests to the court to mark civil complaints settled and requesting entry of a judgment in favor of the plaintiff. While a joint request for entry of judgment is not inherently problematic, the Committee perceived the potential for malfeasance if the filing does not reflect notice to or consent to the agreement by the defendant. The Committee thought it beneficial to examine methods to: (1) develop a procedure for the parties in a civil action to advise the magisterial district court of a settlement agreement that includes the entry of a judgment in favor of the plaintiff, *i.e.*, a stipulated judgment; and (2) establish an explicit prohibition on unauthorized *ex parte* communications with the court by the parties or their representatives.

#### Ex Parte Communications

The Committee first examined *ex parte* communications in magisterial district courts. The Court has defined "*ex parte*" as:

On one side only; by or for one party; done for, in behalf of, or on the application of, one party only. A judicial proceeding, order, injunction, etc., is said to be *ex parte* when it is taken or granted at the insistence and for the benefit of one party only, and without notice to, or contestation by any person adversely interested.

Commonwealth v. Carpenter, 725 A.2d 154, 168 (Pa. 1999) (quoting Black's Law Dictionary, 517 (5th Ed. 1979)).

Magisterial district judges and attorneys are bound by codes of conduct that prohibit unauthorized *ex parte* communication. See Rule 2.9 of the Rules Governing Standards of Conduct of Magisterial District Judges and Rule 3.5 of the Rules of Professional Conduct, respectively. In contrast, there is no similar obligation for parties in the Rules of Civil Procedure Governing Actions and Proceedings Before Magisterial District Judges. Thus, while magisterial district judges and attorneys have guidance relating to *ex parte* communications, the same cannot be said for a litigant who is not law-trained.

The Committee looked to existing Rules of Juvenile Court Procedure, which has prohibitions on *ex parte* communications by the parties. *See* Pa.R.J.C.P. 136 and 1136 (pertaining to delinquency and dependency proceedings, respectively). The Committee used these rules as the basis for developing proposed Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 210.1.

While *ex parte* communications are generally prohibited by proposed Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 210.1, certain *ex parte* communications are authorized and permitted. Notably, proceedings for emergency protective relief are filed and heard on an *ex parte* basis. "As soon as possible after the filing of the petition, the hearing officer shall hold an *ex parte* hearing thereon." Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 1207 (pertaining to hearings for emergency protective relief). Moreover, Rule 2.9(A)(1) of the Rules Governing Standards of Conduct of Magisterial District Judges identifies types of authorized *ex parte* communications under certain circumstances, *e.g.*, scheduling, administrative, or emergency purposes. These exceptions to the general rule against *ex parte* communications are included in the Comment to proposed Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 210.1.

#### Stipulated Judgments

The Committee considers the phrase "stipulated judgment" to mean a consensual judgment that is entered without a hearing by the magisterial district court at the request of the parties. Because the Committee was informed that *ex parte* requests for stipulated judgments are being filed with magisterial district courts, it agreed to consider developing a procedure to ensure a defendant is an informed and active participant to a request to enter a stipulated judgment. The Committee proposes dividing Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 320(B), pertaining to settlements, into two subdivisions. Subdivision (b)(1) reflects current subdivision (B), regarding a request to mark a civil action settled without the entry of a stipulated judgment. This provision could be used when the parties reach an out of court settlement that does not include the entry of a judgment by the court.

Proposed subdivision (b)(2) includes new provisions relating to a stipulated judgment and emphasizes participation of the parties. Proposed subdivision (b)(2)(ii) provides for a new statewide form to request entry of a stipulated judgment by the magisterial district court. The amount of the judgment will be entered on the form. The amount of the judgment should not include court costs insofar as those are determined by Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 206B and are the responsibility of the unsuccessful party. The judgment issued by the magisterial district court will reflect these costs.

The form will also contain a notice to the defendant: (1) to review the settlement agreement to ensure familiarity with and acceptance of its terms; (2) that judgment will be entered against the defendant on the docket of the magisterial district court; and (3) failure to make payments as agreed upon will give the plaintiff the right to request execution of the judgment. Finally, the form will require the signatures of the parties, reflecting that the request is being made jointly. Proposed Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 320(b)(2)(ii)(C). The Comment to proposed Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 320 was amended to include a cross-reference to proposed Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 210.1, prohibiting unauthorized *ex parte* communication with the magisterial district judge.

Relative to proposed Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 320(b)(2)(ii)(B)(I), advising the defendant to review the terms of a settlement agreement, it was not the Committee's intent to require judicial approval of the terms of these agreements. The primary risk in such arrangements is that the plaintiff may execute upon a judgment prematurely or the levy may be excessive in relation to prior payments on the judgment. Should that occur, the defendant could file an objection to the levy pursuant to Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 413, which can be the subject of a request for reconsideration at the court of common pleas. The Committee was satisfied with this remedy for a premature request or excessive levy.

The Committee did consider an alternative approach to entry of a stipulated judgment. The alternative scheme would have the magisterial district court keep the hearing date on the schedule to allow either party to contest the stipulation prior to its entry. Then, if either party appeared at the scheduled hearing time to object to the stipulation, the magisterial district judge would continue the hearing to a later date. In contrast, if no one appeared at the scheduled hearing time, then the magisterial district court would enter the judgment. Ultimately, the Committee did not favor this approach, finding it would complicate the process, disrupt scheduling, and create the potential for intentional delays.

The Committee also observed that the parties will have to act diligently within the allotted time between the filing of the complaint and the date scheduled for the civil hearing. Parties inclined to negotiate a settlement including a stipulated judgment will have limited time, unless a continuance is sought, to negotiate the agreement, execute it, and file the request with the magisterial district court. However, parties who have reached a mutually satisfactory outcome should be incentivized to proceed as directed in proposed Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 320.

Finally, the Committee recommended Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 320 to the Court in 2014 to address the misuse of reinstatement of civil complaints, currently limited to circumstances of failure to make timely service. See Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 304E(1). There is no counterpart to Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 304E(1) in the rules governing landlord-tenant actions because service can be accomplished by posting, thus, timely service is not an issue in landlord-tenant actions. The Committee specifically invites comments on whether the landlord-tenant rules would benefit from the addition of a withdrawal and settlement rule.

\* \* \* \* \*

The Committee welcomes all comments, concerns, and suggestions regarding this proposal.

[Pa.B. Doc. No. 24-607. Filed for public inspection May 3, 2024, 9:00 a.m.]

# Title 255—LOCAL COURT RULES

### **BEDFORD COUNTY**

Amendment of Local Rule of Criminal Procedure 202.1—Approval of Search Warrant Applications by the Attorney for the Commonwealth; Administrative Doc. No. 87 for 2024

#### **Order of Court**

And Now, Friday, April 5, 2024, upon request of the District Attorney of Bedford County, the Order of Court is as follows:

1. Local Rule of Criminal Procedure 202.1 (Approval of Search Warrant Applications by the Attorney for the Commonwealth) is amended as follows to this Order of Court.

2. This Order of Court and Local Rule of Criminal Procedure 202.1 (as amended) shall be promulgated in accordance with Pa.R.J.A. 103(c), effective thirty days after publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*.

By the Court

#### TRAVIS W. LIVENGOOD, President Judge

#### Rule of Criminal Procedure 202.1. Approval of Search Warrant Applications by the Attorney for the Commonwealth.

Search Warrants shall not hereafter be accepted by a Judge of the Court of Common Pleas unless the Search Warrant Application has the approval of an Attorney for the Commonwealth prior to filing. Approval by the Attorney for the Commonwealth may be provided by electronic communication with a copy attached to the warrant application.

[Pa.B. Doc. No. 24-608. Filed for public inspection May 3, 2024, 9:00 a.m.]

# Title 255—LOCAL COURT RULES

#### **BEDFORD COUNTY**

Amendment of Local Rule of Criminal Procedure 507.1—Approval of Police Complaints and Arrest Warrant Affidavits by the Attorney for the Commonwealth; Administrative Doc. No. 88 for 2024

#### **Order of Court**

And Now, Friday, April 5, 2024, upon request of the District Attorney of Bedford County, the Order of Court is as follows:

1. Local Rule of Criminal Procedure 507.1 (Approval of Police Complaints and Arrest Warrant Affidavits by the Attorney for the Commonwealth) is amended as follows to this Order of Court.

2. This Order of Court and Local Rule of Criminal Procedure 507.1 (as amended) shall be promulgated in accordance with Pa.R.J.A. 103(c), effective thirty days after publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*.

By the Court

TRAVIS W. LIVENGOOD, President Judge

#### Rule of Criminal Procedure 507.1. Approval of Police Complaints and Arrest Warrant Affidavits by the Attorney for the Commonwealth.

Criminal complaints and arrest warrant affidavits by police officers, as defined in the Rules of Criminal Procedure, charging any of the following:

1) Any violation of 18 Pa.C.S.A. Chapter 25 (relating to Criminal Homicide);

2) Any violation of 18 Pa.C.S.A. Section 2702 (relating to Aggravated Assault);

3) Any violation of 18 Pa.C.S.A. Chapter 29 (relating to Kidnapping);

4) Any violation of 18 Pa.C.S.A. Chapter 31 (relating to Sexual Offenses) graded as any Felony;

5) Any violation of 18 Pa.C.S.A. Section 3301 (relating to Arson);

6) Any violation of 18 Pa.C.S.A. Chapter 37 (relating to Robbery);

7) Any violation of 75 Pa.C.S.A. Section 3732 (relating to Homicide by Vehicle), Section 3735 (relating to Homicide by Vehicle while DUI), and Section 3735.1 (relating to Aggravated Assault by Vehicle while DUI);

8) Any violation of Title 18 (Crimes Code) or Title 75 (Vehicle Code) which results in the death of any person, including summary offenses;

9) Any charge of an inchoate crime under 18 Pa.C.S.A. Chapter 9 (relating to Criminal Attempt, Criminal Solicitation, and Criminal Conspiracy) where the underlying criminal offense is one of those set forth above;

shall not hereafter be accepted by any judicial officer unless the complaint and affidavit has the approval of an Attorney for the Commonwealth prior to filing. Approval by the Attorney for the Commonwealth may be provided by electronic communication with a copy attached to the complaint or arrest warrant affidavit.

[Pa.B. Doc. No. 24-609. Filed for public inspection May 3, 2024, 9:00 a.m.]

# Title 255—LOCAL COURT RULES

### NORTHUMBERLAND COUNTY

Local Rule-NCR-308; No. AD-2024-7

#### Order

And Now, this 24th day of April, 2024, it is hereby Ordered that the Court hereby Adopts Northumberland County Local Rule of Criminal Procedure NCR-308 Transportation of Prisoners. This Rule shall be effective June 1, 2024.

The Northumberland County District Court Administrator is directed as follows:

(1) File one (1) copy to the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts via email to adminrules@pacourts.us.

(2) One paper copy mailed to the Legislative Reference Bureau for publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* to the following address:

*Pa. Code* and *Bulletin* Legislative Reference Bureau 647 Main Capitol Building Harrisburg, PA 17120

And another copy e-mailed in a Microsoft Word format only to bulletin@palrb.us.

(3) One copy shall be sent to the Editor of the Northumberland County Legal Journal.

(4) Publish a copy of the Administrative Order with Local Rule on the web site of Northumberland County.

(5) Thereafter, compile the Local Rule within the complete set of local rules no later than 30 days following the publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*.

It is further *Ordered* that a copy of the Local Rule shall be kept continuously available for public inspection and copying in the office of the Prothonotary of Northumberland County.

By the Court

PAIGE ROSINI, President Judge

#### Rule NCR-308. Transportation of Prisoners.

The attorney representing a defendant who is incarcerated is responsible for obtaining a Transportation Order to secure the defendant's appearance at trial or hearing. If a defendant is unrepresented by counsel or is proceeding Pro Se, the District Attorney shall arrange for the transporting of the defendant for a trial or hearing.

All Transportation Orders must be filed with the Clerk of Courts and served upon the Sheriff of Northumberland County (three (3) certified copies) a minimum of fourteen (14) business days (not counting the day of filing, the day of the hearing, or holidays) prior to the scheduled date of hearing or trial when the defendant is incarcerated in an out of county facility. The Transportation Order shall be in the same format as previously prescribed.

If the defendant is incarcerated in a facility located in the county, a Transportation Order is not necessary but a minimum of three (3) business days' notice to the Sheriff is required.

(Amended effective June 1, 2024.)

[Pa.B. Doc. No. 24-610. Filed for public inspection May 3, 2024, 9:00 a.m.]

# DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE SUPREME COURT

#### Notice of Administrative Suspension

Notice is hereby given that the following attorneys have been Administratively Suspended by Order of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania dated March 20, 2024, pursuant to Rule 111(b), Pa.R.C.L.E., which requires that every active lawyer shall annually complete, during the compliance period for which he or she is assigned, the continuing legal education required by the Continuing Legal Education Board. The Order became effective April 19, 2024, for Compliance Group 2.

Notice with respect to attorneys having Pennsylvania registration addresses, which have been administratively suspended by said Order, was published in the appropriate county legal journal.

Bettis, Justin Martell Jersey City, NJ Checkley, Janet Carolyn Washington, DC Connor, Joseph New York, NY Cooper, Leslie Ann Morristown, NJ Cusick, Robert William Cherry Hill, NJ De Luna, Stephanie Newark, DE Fanelli, Cecelia L. New York City, NY Fenske, Carly J. Sun Prairie, WI Irving, Geoffrey L. Silver Spring, MD Joiner, Amelia Michele Austell, GA Kelly, David Michael Washington, DC Leva, Harshila Rajesh Atlanta, GA McLaughlin, Michael Joseph Round Rock, TX Mills. Donte Omar East Brunswick, NJ Neal, Leah Ann Havre de Grace, MD Neiburg, Michael Sean Wilmington, DE Pinkston, Andrew Jamel Brooklyn, NY Pinkston, Andrew Jamel Brooklyn, NY Saghafi, Tina Warwick, NY

Salazar, David Whittier, CA

Scott, Robert Philip Jr. Houston, TX

Shilton, A. Victoria Collingswood, NJ

Singh, Sonjay Washington, DC

Sutaria, Shetal Vinod Olney, MD

Tice, Amy Carolyn Wenonah, NJ

Torres, Anthony N. Washington, DC Weber, Nanci Olivere Sarasota, FL

Weyman, Robert New York, NY

> SUZANNE E. PRICE, Attorney Registrar [Pa.B. Doc. No. 24-611. Filed for public inspection May 3, 2024, 9:00 a.m.]

# DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE SUPREME COURT

#### Notice of Suspension

Notice is hereby given that on April 22, 2024, pursuant to Rule 214(d)(2), Pa.R.D.E., the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania ordered that Matthew C. Browndorf (# 81915) be placed on Temporary Suspension from the practice of law, effective May 22, 2024. In accordance with Rule 217(f), Pa.R.D.E., since this formerly admitted attorney resides outside of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, this notice is published in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*.

> MARCEE D. SLOAN, Board Prothonotary

[Pa.B. Doc. No. 24-612. Filed for public inspection May 3, 2024, 9:00 a.m.]